

Trinity County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Operator identification numbers issued / Amended ¹	5	23	6	0
Restricted material permits issued / Amended ¹	9	8	2	0
Permits denied ¹	1	1	0	0
Multi-year permits issued ¹	0	0	0	0
Total permitted sites ¹	612	498	0	0
Notices of intent (NOIs) reviewed ¹	0	0	0	0
NOIs assessed (Pre-application site inspections) ¹	1	0	0	0
NOIs denied ¹	0	0	0	0
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) ²	13,298	25,158	17,651	11,977
Number of agricultural applications ²	401	662	431	345
Number of non-agricultural applications ^{2,3}	0	5,729	2,249	4,524
Number of inspections ¹	11	4	4	0
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution ⁴	\$3,241	\$851	\$8,397	\$3,997
Pesticide Mill Assessment ⁵	\$62,424	\$64,200	\$66,000	\$70,000

1. Source: Pesticide Regulatory Monthly Activities Report

2. Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


3. The number of applications reported for non-agricultural records is not always consistently reported

4. Funding is for the fiscal year. Value represents 36 percent of the amount distributed by CDFA to all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement.


5. Funding is for the fiscal year based on the previous year's workload

Trinity County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary

Percentage of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category from 2010-2013.



Number of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category From 2010-2013.



Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Database (queried September 2014)

Trinity County Inspection Compliance

Inspection Type	Calendar Year 2010						Calendar Year 2011						Calendar Year 2012						Calendar Year 2013						
	Number of Inspections	Criteria			Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Criteria			Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Criteria			Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Criteria			Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	
		Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate			Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate			Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate			Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate		
Agricultural																									
Field Worker Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pesticide Use - Application	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commodity Fume	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Field Fumigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Records	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Structural																									
Fumigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	1	32	96.88%	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Fumigation Application	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	36	0	36	100.00%	0	100.00%
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	34	0	34	100.00%	0	100.00%
Records	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	1	32	96.88%	1	0.00%	4	70	0	70	100.00%	0	100.00%
Overall Totals:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	1	32	96.88%	1	0.00%	4	70	0	70	100.00%	0	100.00%

Source: DPR Enforcement Inspection Tracking Database (queried Sept 2014).

Trinity County Closed Compliance and Enforcement Actions

Compliance Actions	2010	2011	2012	2013
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices	0	0	0	2
B. Cease and Desist Orders	0	0	0	0
C. Documented Compliance Interviews	0	0	0	0
Total number of Compliance Actions	0	0	0	2
Licensed Work Hours	0	0	2	18.5
Enforcement Actions	2010	2011	2012	2013
A. Administrative Action: (1-5)				
1. Restricted Materials Permit	0	0	0	0
2. Private Applicator Certifications	0	0	0	0
3. County Registrations	0	0	0	0
4. Structural Civil Penalties	0	0	0	0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties	0	0	0	0
B. Judicial Actions: (1-4)				
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)	0	0	0	0
2. Cases Submitted to DA	0	0	0	0
3. Civil Complaints Filed	0	0	0	0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed	0	0	0	0
C. Referrals to DPR	0	0	0	0
Total number of Enforcement Actions	0	0	0	0
Licensed Work Hours	0	0	0	0

Note: Compliance and Enforcement Actions are not necessarily taken in the same year the violation occurred

Source: DPR Pesticide Activities Monthly Report Database (queried Sept 2014)

Trinity County

Top 10 Agricultural Inspection Violations

Criteria Evaluated	2010 Criteria Evaluated			2011 Criteria Evaluated			2012 Criteria Evaluated			2013 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2010-2013 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance									

Top 10 Structural Inspection Violations

Criteria Evaluated	2010 Criteria Evaluated			2011 Criteria Evaluated			2012 Criteria Evaluated			2013 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2010-2013 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance									
Emergency Medical Care, Posting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	4	4	1	5	80.00%

Source: DPR Enforcement Inspection Tracking Database (queried Sept 2014)